arXiv:1703.10311v1 [math-ph] 30 Mar 2017

HOLOMORPHIC PATH INTEGRALS IN TANGENT SPACE FOR FLAT MANIFOLDS

GUILLERMO CAPOBIANCO AND WALTER REARTES

Abstract. In this paper we study the quantum evolution in a flat Riemannian manifold. The holomorphic functions are defined on the cotangent bundle of this manifold. We construct Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions in which the scalar product is defined using the exponential map. The quantum evolution is proposed by means of an infinitesimal propagator and the holomorphic Feynman integral is developed via the exponential map. The integration corresponding to each step of the Feynman integral is performed in the tangent space. This Euclidean integral provides the advantage of simpler calculations. Moreover, in the case of S^1, the method proposed in this paper naturally takes into account paths that must be included in the development of the corresponding Feynman integral.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Quantization of the phase space 2
2.1. Metric and complex structure 2
2.2. The Hilbert space 4
2.3. Example: the S^1 case 6
3. Path Integral 9
4. Discussion 9
Acknowledgements 10
References 10

1. Introduction

The quantization of Riemannian manifolds is a very important topic in quantum mechanics in non-trivial spaces [12, 13, 14, 15, 23]. From a geometrical point of view, the quantization in the phase space is natural, mainly because of its relation to the geometric quantization. The geometric quantization is strongly linked to the symplectic structure of the phase space [27].

Of particular interest is the case of cotangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds. The cotangent bundle carries a natural Riemannian structure which is the lifting of the structure at the base. It also has a compatible almost-complex structure J.

Date: March 31, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53Z05, 81S40.
Key words and phrases. Path integrals, holomorphic quantization, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces.

This almost-complex structure gives rise to a complex structure if and only if the manifold is flat [3]. This is the case we deal with in this paper.

We propose a quantization method for a flat Riemannian manifold using the complex structure of the cotangent bundle to define Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions defined in the cotangent. To define the scalar product in this Hilbert space we make use of the exponential map, which allows us to peform an integration in the tangent space of the cotangent. The procedure is as follows. We assign to each point of the cotangent a Hilbert space by defining a scalar product by integration in the tangent space using a Gaussian measure. These spaces are naturally isomorphic. With this product, each fiber is a Segal-Bargmann space for locally defined holomorphic functions. The space of globally defined holomorphic functions is a subspace of the former one. The space we get is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS), like that of Segal-Bargmann. The existence of a reproducing kernel allows us to study the temporal evolution of the quantum wave function by means of Feynman integrals.

Moreover, we develope a method that takes into account all the paths that appear in the Feynman integral (at least when the base manifold is a torus). In [25], the author studies how to propose a Feynman integral in non-simply connected configuration spaces that consider all the paths. For example, in the case of S^1 [25], the Green function obtained by studying a free particle, whose Lagrangian is $L = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\theta}^2$, is given by the following expression

$$G(\theta, T, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i T}} e^{i\frac{(\theta-\theta_0)^2}{2T}}. \tag{1}$$

However, it does not turn out to be the Green function for S^1 because it only takes into account the paths homotopic to the identity in the fundamental group of S^1. Considering that the correct Green function must take into account all the paths, that is to say

$$G(\theta, T, \theta_0) = \sum_{\mathbb{Z}} G_n(\theta, T, \theta_0), \tag{2}$$

where

$$G_n(\theta, T, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i T}} e^{i\frac{(\theta-\theta_0+2\pi n)^2}{2T}}, \tag{3}$$

a Green function is finally obtained which coincides with that resulting from the infinitesimal propagator proposed in [24]. In the construction of this propagator, the integration is performed in the tangent space of S^1.

In this paper we do the integration in the tangent space of the cotangent and therefore, for the aforementioned cases, all the paths are automatically considered.

2. Quantization of the phase space

2.1. **Metric and complex structure.** In the case of a Riemannian manifold Q, as a configuration space, it is natural to wonder if its cotangent bundle has a natural complex structure. First, there is a metric in the cotangent that is the natural lifting of the metric in Q and an almost-complex structure is obtained, which is compatible with the symplectic structure of the cotangent bundle. This structure is integrable when the curvature of Q is null [3, 13].

First we consider the general case. Let Q be a finite-dimensional orientable real-analytic Riemannian manifold and let $P = T^*Q$ be the cotangent bundle with projection $\pi\colon P \to Q$ and canonical symplectic form ω.

The metric in Q can be naturally lifted to the cotangent space P as follows. (See the details in the Appendix of [1]).

We denote by σ the metric on Q, and by $\sigma^\sharp$ the isomorphism induced by σ between $P = T^*Q$ and TQ. Then, we have a metric G on P given by the following expression

$$G_m(V,W) = \sigma_q\left(T\pi V, T\pi W\right) + \sigma_q\left(\sigma_q^\sharp \frac{Dp_1}{\mathrm{d}t}(0), \sigma_q^\sharp \frac{Dp_2}{\mathrm{d}t}(0)\right). \tag{4}$$

Here, $T\pi$ is the tangent application of the projection and D represents the covariant derivative.

Thus, we have two different structures on P; the canonical symplectic structure ω and the Riemannian metric G. A third one appears naturally, the almost-complex structure J. Given the fields V and W, they verify $G(V,W) = \omega(V, JW)$. The triple (J, G, ω) is known as a compatible triple.

Now we develop appropriate local complex coordinates. Complexifying the tangent space T_mP, we have the space $T_mP \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The map J_m can be extended naturally. And we have the following decomposition $T_mP \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_m^{(1,0)}P \oplus T_m^{(0,1)}P$ for all $m \in P$ which can be extended to the whole fiber bundle TP. Then, the complexified tangent bundle, $T^{\mathbb{C}}P$, splits as follows (see [13])

$$T^{\mathbb{C}}P = T^{(1,0)}P \oplus T^{(0,1)}P.$$

Here $T^{(1,0)}P$ and $T^{(0,1)}P$ are the images of the projections Π^+ and Π^- given by

$$\Pi^\pm = \frac{1 \mp \mathrm{i}J}{2}.$$

Given a vector $V = (\dot{q}^1, \ldots, \dot{q}^n, \dot{p}_1, \ldots, \dot{p}_n) \in T_mP$, then Π^+ is a natural isomorphism between T_mP and $T_m^{(1,0)}P$ (*holomorphic tangent space*). That is $\Pi^+V = \dot{z}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$, where the induced complex coordinates are

$$\dot{z}^i = \dot{q}^i + \mathrm{i}\sigma^{im}(\dot{p}_m - p_k\Gamma^k_{ml}\dot{q}^l), \tag{5}$$

and the corresponding holomorphic vector fields are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + p_k\Gamma^k_{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^j} - \mathrm{i}\sigma_{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^j}\right),$$

where σ_{ij} and Γ^k_{ij} are the matrix coefficients of the metric and the Christoffel symbols respectively (the Einstein summation convention is used).

Then, if we compute the Lie bracket of the above-mentioned fields, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}\right] = \mathrm{i}R^m_{kij}p_m\sigma^{lk}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^l} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^l}\right).$$

Then, by the Nirenberg–Newlander theorem [13], the distribution is integrable if and only if the curvature tensor of the metric σ is identically null, see [3]. That is, the base manifold is flat.

In this paper we assume that the configuration space is a flat manifold.

Recently, the research on flat Riemannian manifolds (known as *euclidean space forms* [26, 16]) has shown many developments. For instance, its spectral properties are studied in detail in [21, 22]. In cosmology, the *euclidean space forms* are used to model the spatial part of flat universe models [2, 17, 18, 19, 20]. In addition, a quantization procedure for *euclidean space forms* based on path integrals is developed in [1].

2.2. **The Hilbert space.** The cotangent bundle P with the metric G is a complete Riemannian manifold. Then, by the theorem of Hopf-Rinow, it is geodesically complete, that is, for all $m \in P$ there exists a well defined exponential map on the entire tangent space T_mP.

Here, the tangent vectors are represented by its complex coordinates (5) using the projection Π^+. The square modulus $|z|^2$ is given by

$$|z|^2 = G_m(z,z) = \sigma_{ij}(\pi m) z^i \bar{z}^j, \tag{6}$$

where σ_{ij} are the components of the matrix corresponding to the metric in the base manifold Q.

The natural volume in the vectorial space T_mP is given by the *pull-back* of the Riemannian metric by the exponential map (which coincides with the Liouville volume).

Proposition 1. *The pullback of the Riemannian volume by the exponential map in m is given by*

$$\exp_m^* \Omega(z) = \sigma(\pi m)\, dz. \tag{7}$$

where σ is the determinant of the metric, and dz is the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We use the exponential map in order to define a product of holomorphic functions as follows.

Definition 1. *Let $m \in P$, then for holomorphic functions ϕ and ψ defined on P we define the following normalized scalar product*

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int_{T_mP} \overline{\phi(\exp_m z)}\, \psi(\exp_m z)\, e^{-|z|^2}\, dz. \tag{8}$$

Eventhough the definition depends on the point m, the different products are isometric to each other. In the following theorem we demonstrate that all these elements constitute a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions.

Theorem 1. *Let Q be a flat Riemannian manifold, connected and geodesically complete. We assume the surjectivity of the exponential map. Then, the space of square integrable holomorphic functions on P is a Hilbert space with the norm associated to the scalar product (8). We call this Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}_P$.*

Proof. A holomorphic function $\phi : P \to \mathbb{C}$ induces (by *pull-back*) a holomorphic function on T_mP, that is $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \exp_m$. The set of all holomorphic functions on T_mP whit the scalar product (8) is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{H}L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-|z|^2}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-|z|^2})$ (see [9]). Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence of holomorphic functions on P. It induces a new sequence $\{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ which converges to a holomorphic function $\tilde{\phi}$ on $\mathcal{B}$. One of the key properties of these spaces of holomorphic functions is the continuity of the evaluation map, i. e., for all z exists a constant M_z such that for all $\tilde{\phi}$ it is verified that

$$|\tilde{\phi}(z)|^2 \leq M_z ||\tilde{\phi}||^2_{L^2}. \tag{9}$$

Then,

$$|\tilde{\phi}_i(z) - \tilde{\phi}(z)|^2 \leq M_z ||\tilde{\phi}_i - \tilde{\phi}||^2_{L^2} \to 0. \tag{10}$$

Then for all $n \in P$ we define $\phi(n) = \tilde{\phi}(\exp_m^{-1} n)$. The function ϕ is well defined. Indeed, if $z_1, z_2 \in \exp_m^{-1} n$, we have $\tilde{\phi}_i(z_1) = \tilde{\phi}_i(z_2)$, then by (10), $\tilde{\phi}(z_1) = \tilde{\phi}(z_2)$. $\square$

We can consider $\mathcal{B}_P$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{B}$ by means of the exponential map. In $\mathcal{B}$ exists a function called reproducing kernel $K(z,w)$ (see [9]) with the following property

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} K(z,w)\,\tilde{\phi}(w)\,e^{-|w|^2}dw \tag{11}$$

for all $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{B}$. Also $K(w,z) = \overline{K(z,w)}$ and satisfies the following composition rule

$$K(z,u) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} K(z,w)\,K(w,u)\,e^{-|w|^2}dw \tag{12}$$

for all $z, u \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Also for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $|\tilde{\phi}(z)|^2 \leq K(z,z)\,||\tilde{\phi}||^2$ ($K(z,z)$ is optimal, that is, for each z, there exists a non-zero $\tilde{\phi}_z \in \mathcal{B}$ for which equality holds). Moreover, the reproducing kernel acts as a projector, i. e., if $\tilde{\phi} \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-|z|^2})$, and calling $\mathcal{P}\tilde{\phi}$ to the ortogonal projection of $\tilde{\phi}$ onto $\mathcal{B}$, then

$$\mathcal{P}\tilde{\phi}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} K(z,w)\,\tilde{\phi}(w)\,e^{-|w|^2}dw. \tag{13}$$

The reproducing kernel $K(z,w)$ is unique in the following sense. Given any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, if $F_z(\,\cdot\,) \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \overline{F_z(w)}\,\tilde{\phi}(w)\,e^{-|w|^2}dw \tag{14}$$

for all $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\overline{F_z(w)} = K(z,w)$.

But in general the reproducing kernel $K(z,w)$ is not the pull-back of a function on P.

A reproducing kernel in the space $\mathcal{H}_P$, is a function

$$K_P \colon P \times P \to \mathbb{C}, \tag{15}$$

which is holomorphic in the first coordinate and antiholomorphic in the second, and such that the following equation holds for all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_P$

$$\phi(n) = \int_{T_mP} K_P(n, \exp_m w)\,\phi(\exp_m w)\,e^{-|w|^2}dw. \tag{16}$$

The following theorem allows us to obtain the reproducing kernel

Theorem 2. *Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an ortonormal basis for $\mathcal{B}_P$, then for m, $n \in P$*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |e_j(m)\overline{e_j(n)}| < \infty \tag{17}$$

and

$$K_P(m,n) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j(m)\overline{e_j(n)}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Given that $\mathcal{B}_P$ is a Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{B}$, we have the following direct sum

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_P \oplus \mathcal{B}_P^{\perp}. \tag{19}$$

Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{B}$ compatible with this decomposition. Then, there exists a reproducing kernel $K(z,w)$ in this space (see [9]) given by

$$K(z,w) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(z)\overline{f_j(w)}. \tag{20}$$

Then we have

$$K(z,w) = \tilde{K}_P(z,w) + \tilde{K}_P^{\perp}(z,w). \tag{21}$$

Considering that $\tilde{K}_P^{\perp}(z,w)$ acting on a function $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_P$ does not contribute to the integral, we have the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= \int_{T_m P} K(\exp_m^{-1} n, w)\phi(\exp_m w)e^{-|w|^2}\, dw \\ &= \int_{T_m P} \tilde{K}_P(\exp_m^{-1} n, w)\phi(\exp_m w)e^{-|w|^2}\, dw \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and $K_P(n,q) = \tilde{K}_P(\exp_m^{-1} n, \exp_m^{-1} q)$ is the appropriate reproducing kernel. □

2.3. **Example: the S^1 case.** Consider the case of a particle whose configuration space is the unit circle. Then the phase space is a cylinder. The euclidean metric on the circle induces a euclidean metric on the cylinder. The system is interesting due to the non trivial topology, in particular it is a non-simply connected manifold. We choose the following coordinates

$$-\pi < q < \pi \quad \text{y} \quad -\infty < p < \infty. \tag{23}$$

And the following coordinates on the tangent space of the cylinder at the point $(0,0)$, called x and y respectively. That is, we can write a vector as follows

$$V = x\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \tag{24}$$

The exponential map sends the point (x,y) to the point $q = x \mod 2\pi$ and $p = y$ on the previous coordinate chart. In the tangent space of the cylinder we choose the following complex coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} z &= x - iy \\ \bar{z} &= x + iy. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Now we consider the space of holomorphic functions on the cylinder, lifted to the tangent space by the exponential map. They are holomorphic in z and periodic in the real part, x coordinate. Then the scalar product in the space of holomorphic functions on the cylinder is

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\phi(z)}\psi(z)e^{-z\bar{z}}dz. \tag{26}$$

This space is similar to the Segal-Bargmann space, moreover, the functions are periodic in the real part. In view of theorem 1, it is a Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{B}$. Actually, in the expresion (26), the functions ϕ and ψ in the integral are the functions ϕ and ψ lifted to the tangent space by the exponential map respectively. For simplicity we call they the same way. With this scalar product the set of square integrable holomorphic functions on the cylinder is a Hilbert space. We call it $\mathcal{H}_P$.

A function in $\mathcal{H}_P$ has the following form

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inz}. \tag{27}$$

The functions

$$\phi_n(z) = e^{inz} = e^{in(x-iy)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{28}$$

are periodic in the coordinate x with period 2π. The set $\{\phi_n\}$ is a non-orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{H}_P$, in fact

$$\langle \phi_p, \phi_q \rangle = e^{pq}. \tag{29}$$

Considering that $||\phi_p|| = e^{p^2/2}$, then we have the normalized functions $\tilde{\phi}_p$

$$\tilde{\phi}_p(z) = \frac{\phi_p(z)}{||\phi_p||} = e^{ipz - p^2/2}. \tag{30}$$

With these functions, the scalar product is

$$\left\langle \tilde{\phi}_p, \tilde{\phi}_q \right\rangle = e^{-(p-q)^2/2}. \tag{31}$$

We can find an orthogonal system using Gram-Schmidt. After sorting indexes we have the formula

$$\alpha_n = \tilde{\phi}_{(-1)^{n+1}[(n+1)/2]} - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left\langle \tilde{\phi}_{(-1)^{n+1}[(n+1)/2]}, \alpha_j \right\rangle}{||\alpha_j||^2} \alpha_j, \tag{32}$$

where the expression in brackets means integer part.

Finally we have an orthonormal system

$$\beta_k(z) = \frac{\alpha_k(z)}{||\alpha_k||}. \tag{33}$$

2.3.1. *Reproducing kernel.* In view of the orthonormal basis $\{\beta_i\}$ we have the reproducing kernel

$$K(z, \bar{w}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(z) \overline{\beta_n(w)} = \overline{\zeta_{\bar{z}}(w)}. \tag{34}$$

It can be directly verified that the reproducing kernel is

$$K(z, \overline{w}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\rho_t^z(x) \rho_t^{\overline{w}}(x)}{\rho_t^{x_0}(x)} \mathrm{d}x,$$

where

$$\rho_t^z(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\mathbb{Z}} e^{ik(x-z) - k^2 \frac{t}{2}}$$

is the solution of the heat equation on S^1 with $t = 1$ [4, 5].

That is, given a function $\phi(z)$ as in (27), it verifies (11), i. e.

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_k e^{ikz} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \overline{w}) \phi(w) e^{-|w|^2} dw \tag{35}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\rho_1^{x_0}(x)} \sum_k e^{-ik(x-z) - \frac{k^2}{2}} \sum_l e^{il(x-\overline{w}) - \frac{l^2}{2}} \sum_m \phi_m e^{imw} e^{-w\overline{w}} dw \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_k e^{ikz} \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

It is interesting to note that the reproducing kernel $K(z, \overline{w})$ coincides with that found in [1], although in that paper the reproducing kernel is found using a generalized Segal-Bargmann transform [6, 7, 9, 8, 11, 10] and the scalar product in that

case is defined by an integration in the manifold, in which integrand the solution of the heat equation explicitly appears.

2.3.2. *Ladder Operators.* We define the ladder operators a^+ and a (creation operator and annihilation operator respectively), as follows

$$a^+\psi(z) = \langle \zeta_{\bar{z}}, w\psi\rangle_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\beta_n(z)\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\beta_n(w)}w\psi(w)e^{-w\bar{w}}dw, \tag{37}$$

and

$$a\chi(z) = \left\langle \zeta_{\bar{z}}, \frac{d\chi}{dw}\right\rangle_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\beta_n(z)\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\beta_n(w)}\frac{d\chi}{dw}(w)e^{-w\bar{w}}dw = \frac{d\chi}{dz}(z). \tag{38}$$

The functions ψ and χ are given by the following series

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}u_p\beta_p(z), \qquad \chi(z) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}v_q\beta_q(z). \tag{39}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle a^+\psi,\chi\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{w\psi(w)}\beta_n(w)e^{-w\bar{w}}dw\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\beta_n(z)}\chi(z)e^{-z\bar{z}}dz\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\psi(w)}\frac{d\beta_n}{dw}(w)e^{-w\bar{w}}dw\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\beta_n(z)}\chi(z)e^{-z\bar{z}}dz,\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where the last expression was obtained integrating by parts. Then, using the series expansion of the functions ψ and χ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle a^+\psi,\chi\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty}\bar{u}_pv_q\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{d\beta_n}{dw}(w)\overline{\beta_p(w)}e^{-w\bar{w}}dw\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\beta_n(z)}\beta_q(z)e^{-z\bar{z}}dz\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty}\bar{u}_pv_q\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{d\beta_q}{dw}(w)\overline{\beta_p(w)}e^{-w\bar{w}}dw,\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where we use the orthonormality of β_i's.

Also

$$\langle\psi, a\chi\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\beta_n(w)}\frac{d\chi}{dw}(w)e^{-w\bar{w}}dw\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\psi(z)}\beta_n(z)e^{-z\bar{z}}dz. \tag{42}$$

where we use again the series expansion of ψ and χ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi, a\chi\rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty}\bar{u}_pv_q\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{d\beta_q}{dw}(w)\overline{\beta_n(w)}e^{-w\bar{w}}dw\int_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\beta_p(z)}\beta_n(z)e^{-z\bar{z}}dz\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty}\bar{u}_pv_q\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{d\beta_q}{dw}(w)\overline{\beta_p(w)}e^{-w\bar{w}}dw.\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Then we have the following result

$$\left\langle a^+\psi,\chi\right\rangle = \langle\psi, a\chi\rangle, \tag{44}$$

i. e., a^+ and a are adjoint operators.

3. Path Integral

Let Q be a Riemannian manifold, connected and geodesically complete. Let P be the cotangent bundle of Q. In section (2.1) we shown that there is a natural lifting of the metric σ in Q to a metric G in P (see (4)). Also, every simplectic manifold is almost-Kähler, then P admits a compatible almost-complex structure J. We choose J such that the metric $G = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ coincides with the lifting of the metric in Q. If J is integrable, P is a Kähler manifold.

Now we study the temporal evolution of the quantum wave function. Let $K\colon P\times P \to \mathbb{C}$ be the reproducing kernel, then

$$\phi(m) = \int_{T_m P} K(m, \exp_m z)\phi(\exp_m z)e^{-|z|^2}\, dz. \tag{45}$$

Let H be the hamiltonian operator in $\mathcal{H}L^2(P)$, represented by the integral kernel K_H, i. e.

$$H\phi(m) = \int_{T_m P} K_H(m, \exp_m z)\phi(\exp_m z)e^{-|z|^2}\, dz. \tag{46}$$

According to the solution of the Schrödinger equation, the evolution operator asociated to time-independent hamiltonians is

$$U_t = e^{-iHt}. \tag{47}$$

For small time intervals we have the following approximation

$$U_\Delta \cong 1 - iH\Delta. \tag{48}$$

Then, we define the infinitesimal evolution operator as follows

$$u_\Delta\phi(m) = \int_{T_m P} K(m, \exp_m z)\phi(\exp_m z)e^{-|z|^2}e^{-i\Delta K_H/K}\, dz. \tag{49}$$

This infinitesimal evolution operator allows us to obtain the evolution operator

$$U_t\phi(m) = \lim_{n\to\infty}(u_{t/n})^n\phi(m). \tag{50}$$

4. Discussion

Recently, the research on flat Riemannian manifolds has shown many developments [2, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. For instance, a quantization scheme for *euclidean space forms* based on path integrals is developed in [1].

In the present paper we make a different proposal to the quantization of flat manifolds. It is interesting to note that it shares some results (such as the reproducing kernel) with the scheme studied in [1].

Here we study the holomorphic quantization of a quantum state whose configuration space is a flat Riemannian manifold. The Hilbert spaces are obtained using the exponential map. To define the scalar product in this Hilbert space we make use of the exponential map, which allows us to peform an integration in the tangent space of the cotangent. Also the Feynman integral is developed via the exponential map. The existence of a reproducing kernel allows us to study the temporal evolution of the quantum wave function. As an advantage, the calculations are simpler. In [25], for example, the author studies the Feynman integral in non-simply connected configuration spaces and the obtained Green function must be corrected in order to include all the paths. Finally, in the case of S^1, the method proposed in this paper naturally takes into account all the paths.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Universidad Nacional del Sur (Grants PGI 24/L085, PGI 24/L086 and PGI 24/ZL10).

References

[1] G. Capobianco and W. A. Reartes. Path integrals on euclidean space forms. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)*, 11-071:12, 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2015.071.
[2] G. Ellis. Topology and cosmology. *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 2(1):7–21, 1971.
[3] I. V. Gorbunov, S. L. Lyakhovich, and A. A. Sharapov. Wick quantization of cotangent bundles over Riemannian manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 53:98–121, 2005.
[4] A. Grigor'yan. Heat kernels on weighted manifolds and applications. *Contemp. Math.*, pages 93–191, 2006.
[5] A. Grigor'yan. *Heat Kernel and Analysis on Manifolds*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. AMS, 2009.
[6] B. C. Hall. The Segal-Bargmann "Coherent state" transform for compact Lie groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 122:103–151, 1994.
[7] B. C. Hall. The inverse Segal-Bargmann transform for compact Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 143:98–116, 1997.
[8] B. C. Hall. Harmonic analysis with respect to heat kernel measure. *Bull. Am. Math: Soc.*, 38(1):43–78, 2000.
[9] B. C. Hall. Holomorphic methods in mathematical physics. arXiv:quant-ph/9912054v2, 2000.
[10] B. C. Hall. The Segal-Bargmann transform for noncompact symmetric spaces of the complex type. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 227:338–371, 2005.
[11] B. C. Hall and W. D. Kirwin. Adapted complex structures and the geodesic flow. *Math. Ann.*, 350(2):455, 2011.
[12] H. Kleinert. *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics and Financial Markets*. World Scientific, 3rd edition, 2004.
[13] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, volume 2. Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons, 1969.
[14] K. Kowalski and J. Rembieliński. Quantum mechanics on a sphere and coherent states. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen*, 33:6035–6048, 2000.
[15] K. Kowalski, J. Rembieliński, and L. C. Papaloucas. Coherent states for a quantum particle on a circle. arXiv:quant-ph/9801029v1, 1998.
[16] W. Kühnel. *Differential Geometry, Curves – Surfaces – Manifolds*. AMS, 2nd edition, 2006.
[17] J. Levin. Topology and the cosmic microwave background. *Physics Reports*, 365:251–333, 2002.
[18] J. Levin, E. Scannapieco, G. de Gasperis, J. Silk, and J.D. Barrow. How the universe got its spots. *Phys. Rev. D*, 58:123006, 1998.
[19] J. Levin, E. Scannapieco, and J. Silk. Is the universe infinite or is it just really big? *Phys. Rev. D*, 58:103516, 1998.
[20] J. Levin, E. Scannapieco, and J. Silk. The topology of the universe: the biggest manifold of them all. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 15:2689, 1998.
[21] R. Miatello and R. Podestá. Spectral properties of four-dimensional compact flat manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 29:17–50, 2006.
[22] R. Miatello and J. Rossetti. Spectral properties of flat manifolds. *Contemp. Math.*, 491:83–113, 2009.
[23] A. Mostafazadeh. Scalar curvature factor in the Schrödinger equation and scattering on a curved surface. *Phys.Rev. A*, 54:1165–1170, 1996.
[24] W. Reartes. Path integral quantization of the sphere. In *New Advances in Celestial and Hamiltonian Systems*, pages 225–237. Kluwer Academic, New York, 2004.
[25] L. S. Schulman. *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration*. John Wiley Sons, 1981.
[26] J. A. Wolf. *Spaces of constant curvature*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, 6th edition, 2006.
[27] N. M. J. Woodhouse. *Geometric Quantization*. Clarenton Press. Oxford, second edition, 1991.

Departamento de Matemática, INMABB CONICET, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Av. Alem 1253, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina
E-mail address: guillermo.capobianco@gmail.com, walter.reartes@gmail.com